## Clouds of war

The United States needs to dial down on its 'maximum pressure' tactics with Iran

.S. President Donald Trump's decision to pull back from air strikes on Iran, after the latter shot down an American drone near the Strait of Hormuz, was a rare moment of restraint amid otherwise escalating tensions between the two countries. The rationale behind the pull-back, according to Mr. Trump, was that he did not want to cause any loss of Iranian lives as no American lives were hurt by the Iranians. Clearly, Mr. Trump, who had campaigned against the costly wars of the U.S. overseas, does not seem to be in favour of launching an open conflict with Iran. A war with Iran could be prolonged and disastrous. Iran has ballistic missiles, proxy militias and a relatively vibrant navy. And the Strait of Hormuz, through which onethird of the world's seaborne oil shipments move, is within its range. Mr. Trump does not want to take a risk unless there are provocations from Iran targeting American lives. While this approach is better than that of Mr. Trump's National Security Adviser, John Bolton, who has threatened Iran with war several times, what the U.S. President overlooks is that the current state of tensions is a product of his "maximum pressure" tactic. A year ago Mr. Trump pulled the U.S. out of a nuclear deal with which Iran was fully compliant, setting off the escalation. His plan was to squeeze the Iranian economy and force Tehran back to the table to renegotiate the nuclear issue as well as Iran's missile programme and regional activism, for a "better deal". A year later, the U.S. and Iran are on the brink of a war.

The problem with Mr. Trump's "maximum pressure" approach is that he doesn't seem to have a plan between the sanctions-driven pressure tactics and a potential military conflict. Iran, on the other hand, is ready to take limited risks, as its actions such as the threat to breach the uranium enrichment limits set by the nuclear deal and the downing of the American drone suggest, to break the stranglehold of the sanctions. As a result, Mr. Trump has a situation where maximum pressure is not producing the desired result, and both countries are edging towards a war he doesn't want. This is a strategic dilemma that warrants a recalibration of policy. Mr. Trump's decision to call off the strike and the new red line he set for Iran could create an opportunity for such a recalibration. He could seize the moment to assure Iran that his primary goal is engagement, not conflict. What Iran wants the most is relief from the sanctions. Instead of sticking to a policy that has proved to be counter-productive and risky, Mr. Trump could offer Tehran some reprieve in return for its remaining in the nuclear deal, which could be followed up by a fresh diplomatic opening. If he continues with the pressure tactics, tensions will stay high, the Strait of Hormuz would be on the brink, and further provocations by either side, or even an accident, could trigger a full-scale conflict. That is a dangerous slope.

## Lacklustre meet

GST Council has missed the chance to send positive signals to boost consumer demand

The Goods and Services Tax Council's first meeting under the new government did not deliver any big surprises. Apart from some minor changes to the existing structure and procedures under the GST, the council's meeting on Friday, under Finance Minister Nirmala Sitharaman, was largely a lacklustre event. There was some expectation that the council would consider a significant cut in tax rates across the board in order to help spur consumer demand that has been sagging in recent quarters. But none of that happened. The meeting ended with some changes in procedure that are expected to tackle tax evasion and make GST filing easier. In particular, Aadhaar has been approved as sufficient proof to obtain GST registration. Even the expectation that there would be a cut in the tax imposed on electric vehicles, from 12% to 5%, was not met. The government may be worried about the revenue implications of any significant across-the-board tax cut. Although GST collections have been encouraging in the past couple of months, monthly tax collections have largely been modest since the introduction of the tax regime in mid-2017, failing to meet the government's own targets most of the time. But such caution may not help the larger cause of the economy, which urgently needs a boost in some form. A significant cut in rates could have sent out the strong signal that the NDA government is serious about pushing through serious pro-growth reforms during its second term in office.

Another notable decision taken by the council was the one to extend the tenure of the National Anti-Profiteering Authority by two years, till November 2021. Further, the council increased the quantum of penalty that could be imposed by the authority on profiteering companies, from the current maximum of ₹25,000 to an additional 10% of the profiteered amount. Given that the government has increased the powers of the antiprofiteering body, it would not be surprising if the body becomes a permanent feature under GST. This does not send a promising message to the business community ahead of the Union Budget, scheduled to be presented in Parliament on July 5. The anti-profiteering clause assumes that government action is absolutely necessary in order to pass on the benefit of tax cuts to consumers, or else tax cuts may simply end up adding to the profits of businesses. This is wrong. While businesses naturally try to profit from lower taxes, the forces of competition make sure their profit margins are driven back down to normal. The alternative of having a bureaucracy to deal with the issue makes profit look like a bad word, and encourages rent-seeking by corrupt authorities.

# Reimagining the NITI Aayog

The institution can play an important role in refreshing India's fiscal federalism



VIJAY KELKAR & ABHAY PETHE

ndia's Constitution-makers thought of India as a union of States with a centripetal bias, done, advisedly, to preserve the unity and integrity of a newly fledged nation. Since then, the Indian economy, polity, demography and society have undergone many changes. The new aspirational India is now firmly on a growth turnpike. It is in this context that we revisit India's fiscal federalism and propose redesigning it around its four pillars.

Typically, federations (including the Indian one) face vertical and horizontal imbalances. A vertical imbalance arises because the tax systems are designed in a manner that yields much greater tax revenues to the Central government when compared to the State or provincial governments; the Constitution mandates relatively greater responsibilities to the State governments. For example, in India, post the advent of Goods and Services Tax (GST), the share of States in the public expenditure is 60% while it is 40% for the Centre to perform their constitutionally mandated duties.

The horizontal imbalances arise because of differing levels of attainment by the States due to differential growth rates and their developmental status in terms of the state of social or infrastructure capital. Traditionally, Finance

these imbalances in a stellar manner, and they should continue to be the first pillar of the new fiscal federal structure of India.

**Understanding the imbalance** However, in India, the phenomenon of horizontal imbalance needs to be understood in a more nuanced fashion. It involves two types of imbalances. Type I is to do with the adequate provision of basic public goods and services, while the second, Type II, is due to growth accelerating infrastructure or the transformational capital deficits. The latter are known to be historically conditioned or path dependent. Removing these two imbalances clearly comprises two distinct policy goals and calls for following the Tinbergen assignment principle, which are two different policy instruments. It is here that we believe that NITI

Aayog 2.0 must create a niche, as-

sume the role of another policy in-

strument and become the second

pillar of the new fiscal federal

In the past, the Planning Commission used to give grants to the States as conditional transfers using the Gadgil-Mukherjee formula. Now with the Planning Commission disbanded, there is a vacuum especially as the NITI Aayog is primarily a think tank with no resources to dispense, which renders it toothless to undertake a "transformational" intervention. On the other hand, it is too much to expect the Union Finance Commission to do the dual job. In other words, there is an urgent need for an optimal arrangement. It is best that the Union Finance Commission be confined to focussing on

balance across States of the Type I: i.e. the basic public goods imbalance. We need another institution to tackle the horizontal imbalance of the Type II; for this the NITI Aayog is the most appropriate institution. It can be argued that the Finance Ministry is the other alternative to deliver the goods in this regard but it is ill-suited to do this; its primary duty is to concern itself with the country's macro-economic stability and the proper functioning of the financial system rather than be an instrument of growth at the sub-national level.

Towards this task of cooperative federalism, NITI Aayog 2.0 should receive significant resources (say 1% to 2% of the GDP) to promote accelerated growth in States that are lagging, and overcome their historically conditioned infrastructure deficit, thus reducing the developmental imbalance. In short, the NITI Aayog should be engaged with the allocation of "transformational" capital in a formulaic manner, complete with incentive-compatible conditionalities. The variables or parameters used in this formulaic transfer will be very different from those traditionally used by the Finance Com-

NITI Aayog 2.0 should also be

mandated to create an independent evaluation office which will monitor and evaluate the efficacy of the utilisation of such grants. In doing so, it should not commit the mistake of micro-management or conflicts with line departments. It must be also accorded a place at the high table of decision-making as it will need to objectively buy-in the cooperation of the richer States as their resources are transferred to the poorer ones.

### **Ushering in decentralisation**

The same perspective will have to be translated below the States to the third tier of government. This is crucial because intra-State regional imbalances are likely to be of even greater import than inter-State ones. Decentralisation, in letter and spirit, has to be the third pillar of the new fiscal federal architecture. De jure and de facto seriousness has to be accorded to the 73rd and 74th constitutional amendments. For this, the missing local public finance must be birthed. One of the ways for this is through the creation of an urban local body/panchayati raj institutions consolidated fund. This would mean that Articles 266/268/ 243H/243X of our Constitution will need to be amended to ensure that relevant monies directly flow into this consolidated fund of the third tier.

Through such constitutional amendments, the Centre and States should contribute an equal proportion of their Central GST (CGST) and State GST (SGST) collections and send the money to the consolidated fund of the third tier. For instance, one-sixth sharing of the CGST and SGST with the third tier can generate more than

1% of the GDP every year for the financing of public goods by urbanlevel bodies. Such an arrangement will be the third pillar of fiscal federalism. Further, the State Finance Commissions should be accorded the same status as the Finance Commission and the 3Fs of democratic decentralisation (funds, functions and functionaries) vigorously implemented. This will strengthen and deepen our foundational democratic framework.

## Fine-tuning the GST

The fourth pillar – and in a sense what is central and binding – is the "flawless" or model GST. It is to the credit of our democratic maturity that the GST Bill was passed unanimously by Parliament; but in its present form, it is far from flawless. It needs further simplification and extended coverage. We need to quickly achieve the goal of a single rate GST with suitable surcharges on "sin goods," zero rating of exports and reforming the Integrated Goods and Services Tax (IGST) and the e-way bill. The GST Council should adopt transparency in its working, and create its own secretariat with independent experts also as its staff. This will enable it to undertake further reforms in an informed and transparent manner. Thus, India will be able to truly actualise the "grand bargain" and see the GST as an enduring glue holding the four pillars together by creating the new fiscal federal architecture and strengthening India's cooperative federalism.

Vijay Kelkar and Abhay Pethe are the Vice-President and Founder Member. respectively, of the Pune International

# Walking a diplomatic tightrope

For India and the U.S., managing bilateral ties is linked to balancing nationalist, cultural and economic agendas



VARGHESE K. GEORGE

Ts the die already cast for U.S. Secretary of State Mike Pompeo's forthcoming visit to India? Mr. Pompeo, and his Indian counterpart, External Affairs Minister S. laishankar, are the trusted lieutenants of their leaders, U.S. President Donald Trump and Prime Mi-Modi, Narendra ectively, and largely aligned with their politics. But Mr. Pompeo and Mr. Jaishankar are also the links between the disruptive politics of their chief executives and the conventional strategic approach of professionals who work under them, and the legacy ecosystems in their respective countries. They have the tough task of managing a bilateral relationship that they both know is critical, without appearing to be undermining the nationalist, cultural and economic agendas of their leaders, which mirror each other, and hence create a situation of likes repelling each other.

A general presumption informing scholarship on international relations is that there is a non-negotiable and unchanging precept of national interest that determines the conduct of nations. Mr. Modi and Mr. Trump are two leaders who are rewriting the notion of national interest itself - for instance, secularism was considered to be India's national interest until recently; immigration and trade were considered to be in America's national interest.

Mr. Trump and Mr. Modi are guided by nationalisms that have cultural and economic components. In both, their views converge in some aspects and conflict in some others. For instance, on the cultural front, they could cooperate on global Islamism. But the growing presence of Indians in America is a source of conflict – Mr. Modi's politics involves boosting the global Hindu; but Indian Americans are cultural aliens to Mr. Trump's supporters, besides being seen to be their economic adversaries. The sustained squeeze on Indian guest workers entering the U.S., particularly through the H-1B visa programme, is a case in point.

**Country-specific perspectives** 

What does Mr. Modi want for India from abroad? He wants investment, technology, arms, but does not want finished products (other than arms) or foreign ideas -Christianity, an open global market, the right to self-determination, human rights, Western strands of democracy coming through missionaries, international bodies and non-governmental organisations (NGOs). This has been expressed through higher tariffs on imports and restrictions on global NGOs. This list does not entirely correspond to what Mr. Trump wants to sell – he wants to sell only finished products at lower tariffs, and keep technology and capital within the borders of



America protected. From an Indian perspective, Mr.

Trump has upended American strategy in two fundamental aspects. His approach to international ties gives precedence for commerce over the strategic, and workers over corporations. Profesunderstood the U.S.'s international ties from the perspective of its multinational corporations. These corporations wanted cheap manufacturing in China and Southeast Asia and U.S. policy enabled that pursuit. Corporations wanted cheap labour from India by outsourcing work and importing workers into the U.S. But Mr. Trump does not want American work coming to India, or Indian workers going to America; Mr. Modi wants both. Mr. Trump's disinterest in strategic matters and obsession with selling meet Mr. Modi's desire for arms acquisition, however. Given a choice, Mr. Trump would be willing to sell arms to India without regard for issues such as regional stability that preoccupy professionals in the State Department.

India's trade surplus is tiny relative to the size of the American economy and its trade volumes. Presidents before Mr. Trump al-

ways privileged the strategic components of America's global ties over trade and commercial issues. India benefitted from that approach. When commerce becomes the only lens that the U.S. sees the world through, India and China look similar - trying to extract benefits from it. Mr. Trump thinks - he has said it several times – that India and China took his predecessors for a ride. Mr. Modi thinks his predecessors were weak leaders who were taken advantage of by the world, and he al-

so wants to show how strong he is. When Mr. Trump sees India and are taking advantage of America with protectionism, weak intellectual property protection, and higher emissions under the climate treaty, the strategic reason for India-U.S. alignment, which is the menacing rise of China, gets weakened. In fact, the Wuhan summit, that marked a new thaw between India and China, had as its backdrop Mr. Trump's tirade against both countries on these is-

## The terror fight

One war that Mr. Trump wants to end (in Afghanistan) and another war that he appears to be itching to begin (with Iran) have major implications for India and its ties with the U.S. India wants America's continued engagement in Afghanistan and peace with Iran. Just as the U.S. was campaigning hard to have Masood Azhar designated as a global terrorist, it was also seeking Pakistan's help to persuade the Taliban for a deal in Afghanistan. The point being that India-U.S. cooperation on terrorism has several components to be factored in and the Indian euphoria surrounding Mr. Trump's relentless bluster against Pakistan needs to tempered with some realism.

The Hindutva nationalists in India have a deep suspicion of China + and its intention and they consider the U.S. as an ally and a partner, but the tactical nature of that approach is not hidden or unstated. The cultural suspicion of the U.S. itself is an additional factor.

A speech by Rashtriya Swayamsevak Sangh chief Mohan Bhagwat China as two similar countries that to a gathering of the organisation on June 16 in Nagpur is instructiv "We are progressing and we will continue to progress. When India advances, when our Hindu society advances... the implication of that is that the selfishness that dominates the world is bound to come to an end... Countries that dominate the world using their money power and military power with the facade of grandiloquence. Therefore, there are many out there who do not want India's progress. I don't take names, but you understand. Many countries in the world are forced to support us because we have now become stronger. That is for advancing their interests. If we let them take advantage of our internal differences, our new beginning after 70 years will be eclipsed before it fully blossoms and bears fruit."

Mr. Pompeo and Mr. Jaishankar have the task of navigating a bum-

varghese.g@thehindu.co.in

## $LETTERS\ TO\ THE\ EDITOR\ \ \ \text{Letters\ emailed\ to\ letters\@thehindu.co.in\ must\ carry\ the\ full\ postal\ address\ and\ the\ full\ name\ or\ the\ name\ with\ initials.}$

## Religious freedom

Articles 25 to 28 of the Constitution grant the freedom of religion but one wonders whether they are restricted to the text alone (Page 1, "BJP slams U.S. for 'bias' against the PM", June 23). With instances of communal violence and mob-lynching on the rise, we have to ask ourselves whether we have this freedom. The current government seems to slam critics instead of looking into the wrong that is being

There have been constant statements about the need to make India a "Hindu Rashtra". Instead of slamming the criticism, the government should take corrective measures. It must remember the Prime Minister's words, "If there is no criticism, democracy will be at a loss. One should not be saddened by ISHAN FOUZDAR.

■ Top leaders of the ruling party condemn acts of violence and hatred against the minorities in a ritualistic manner more out of compulsion than conviction. The failure to prevent hate crimes, protection to the perpetrators of these crimes and weak law enforcement are facts that cannot be refuted. Crimes against the minorities cannot be delinked from the emergence of the ruling party as the country's principal political force. G. DAVID MILTON.

■ The present government is known not to accept its failures and the truth with grace. The same government

was a success though the central bank said that most of the banned denomination notes were returned. There is also data on low GDP growth and a high unemployment rate which are contrary to the government's claim that the country is on the path to development. When the government cannot accept authentic data, no one expects it to agree with the U.S.'s assessment of India's "religious freedom". Kshirasagara Balaji Rao,

**History and progress** The absence of Jawaharlal Nehru's name in the President's address to both Houses of Parliament must lead to contemplation. Nehru, the first Prime

Minister of free India, keeps

being ignored under the

current political dispensation asserted that demonetisation not because the Congress is not in power but because our history's palimpsest is sought to be overwritten by the politics of the day. But this cannot negate the reality that the Nehruvian era was ahead of its times and which is what enabled us to sustain both democracy and economic progress at fair levels. The first of the famed IITs was established ahead of our first general election of independent India - a foresight to ensure that the right to education goes hand-in-hand with the right to vote and technological and research institutes go to form the bulwark of our macro-economics. There is a lesson for any progressive nation; history is not for selective reading, and it matters less as to where we started but more how we

intend hence onwards to

R. NARAYANAN. Navi Mumbai

progress quickly and

## Afghan jolt

In Saturday's nail-biting encounter between India and Afghanistan, it was Mohammed Shami who ensured our victory. Afghanistan put up a brave fight till the last over. The collapse of India's middle order is cause for concern ('Sport' page, "Shami's hat-trick seals it for India in a sensational finish", June 23). SRAVANA RAMACHANDRAN,

■ On a slow track at the Hampshire Bowl. Southampton, it turned out to be a game of fine margins. While the earlier victories against bigger teams were relatively easy, the Men in Blue were thoroughly tested

before the business end of the tournament. Sometimes tough wins inject more confidence than the easy ones, and the win against Afghanistan was one such. Full marks to Afghanistan for playing tough cricket against a top team. R. SIVAKUMAR,

■ India won only because of some brilliant pace bowling from an ever consistent Bumrah and Shami. The shock that Afghanistan was close to giving us should make the Indian team managers ponder over the brittle middle order batting An over-reliance on the openers and Kohli does not augur well for India's smooth progress. DEVADAS V.,

MORE LETTERS ONLINE:

Kannur, Kerala

THE HINDU DELHI MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2019

# A dialogue of civilisations

China is actively promoting the idea of confluence of civilisations. Why is India so inactive?



Is the 21st century going to be marked by a fruitful conversation among civilisations or marred by a frightening conflict of civilisations? This is one of the most vigorously debated questions in our times.

In the closing decade of the last century, Samuel Huntington, a noted American political scientist, put forward the thesis of 'The Clash of Civilisations'. He claimed that the future trend of world politics would be defined by the conflict between Western and non-Western civilisations. His belief: the West's superior civilisation would triumph in this clash. Many in America and Europe lapped up his thesis, since it had appeared soon after the end of the Cold War, which saw the disintegration of the Soviet Union and the emergence of the U.S. as the sole superpower.

Since then, numerous public figures around the world have countered Huntington's theory. Notable among them was Mohammad Khatami, Iran's President from 1997 to 2005. To its abiding credit, the United Nations endorsed his counterconcept and proclaimed 2001 as the "UN Year of Dialogue among Civilisations".

## A new Cold War?

The U.S. has become a diminished power in the past three decades. Nevertheless, it appears that Huntington's argument still has backers in the Donald Trump administration. One of its high-ranking officials has sought to paint the current U.S.-China trade war on the canvas of a 'clash of civilisations'.

In a recent speech, State Department Policy Planning Director Kiron Skinner alerted Americans to the "long-term threat" posed by China, and said that countering this threat is "a fight with a really different civilisation". She described the Cold War between the U.S. and the Soviet Union as "a fight within the Western family". In contrast, she said, "It's the first time that we will have a great power competitor that is not Cauca-



tober 2017, he highlighted the rele-

vance of this debate to the newest,

and one of the most pressing, pro-

blems facing the entire planetary

population: climate change. He said

the world needs to make a transition

from "industrial civilisation" to "eco-

logical civilisation", and learn to

create "harmony between man and

nature", a noble teaching embedded

in all the world's civilisations, cul-

He continued his advocacy by host-

ing a major 'Conference on Dialogue

of Asian Civilisations' in Beijing last

month, in which I participated as a

non-governmental delegate. Over

2,000 participants representing the

48 Asian countries, and also many

distinguished personalities from oth-

er continents, attended the event. In

his keynote, Mr. Xi stressed the im-

portance of Asia, a continental "cra-

dle of civilisations" that "covers a

third of the earth's land mass and has

two-thirds of the world's popula-

tion". Explaining the purpose of the

conference, he said, "The world to-

day is moving toward greater multi-

polarity, economic globalisation and

cultural diversity, and is becoming

increasingly information-oriented.

All this points to promising prospects

for the future. Meanwhile, instability

and uncertainties are mounting and

the global challenges faced by hu-

manity are becoming ever more

daunting, calling for joint responses

from countries around the world."

His prescription: "to meet our com-

mon challenges and create a better

future for all, we look to culture and

civilisation to play their role, which is

as important as the role played by

tures and religions.

**Dialogue of civilisations** 

sian." In addition to arrogantly proclaiming civilisational superiority, her remark was plainly racist. Many around the world are today wondering: is Washington planning a new Cold War? Will the world have to pay a heavy price once again?

It is against this backdrop that we should see the significance of a new initiative by Chinese President Xi Jinping. In recent years, no leader has been championing the need for inter-civilisational dialogue for world peace and common prosperity more forcefully and consistently than Mr. Xi. He is also the only contemporary global leader proposing such dialogue as a path to reach a much loftier goal, of "building a community of common future for mankind", which he expounded at the UN's 70th anniversary summit in 2015.

In the debate on whether there will be a conflict or confluence of civilisations, three questions become pertinent. Are all civilisations equal? Can dialogue really help in addressing the big challenges before the world today? And how should nations learn from one another? Mr. Xi has answered these squarely.

In his speech at the UNESCO headquarters in Paris in 2014, Mr. Xi had said: "All civilisations are equal, and such equality has made exchanges and mutual learning among civilisations possible. All human civilisations are equal in terms of value. They all have their respective strengths and shortcomings. There is no perfect civilisation in the world. Nor is there a civilisation that is devoid of any merit. No one civilisation

can be judged superior to another." Speaking at the 19th Congress of the Chinese Communist Party in Oc-

In an indirect reference to the protectionist and supremacist stance being adopted by the U.S., Mr. Xi cautioned: "Civilisations don't have to clash with each other; what is needed are eyes to see the beauty in all ci-

economy, science and technology."

vilisations... If countries choose to close their doors and hide behind them, human civilisations would be cut off from each other and lose all vitality. We Asian people hope that all countries will reject self-exclusion, embrace integration, uphold openness and promote policy, infrastructure, trade, financial and peopleto-people connectivity."

History is witness to how civilisations decline and die when they become exclusivist. Mr. Xi put it well: "We need to stay open and inclusive and draw on each other's strengths. All living organisms in the human body must renew themselves through metabolism; otherwise, life would come to an end. The same is true for civilisations. Long-term self-isolation will cause a civilisation to decline, while exchanges and mutual learning will sustain its development."

## Why was India absent?

As an Indian, I was happy when Mr. Xi in his speech made a special reference to India's contribution to the richness of Asian civilisations by mentioning the Rigveda, Ganga and Indus rivers, and, above all, the priceless gift of Buddhism. However, again as an Indian, I was assailed by a disturbing thought: when China is taking the lead in spiritedly championing inter-civilisational dialogue within Asia and around the world, why is India, inheritor to one of the richest and oldest civilisations, so inactive at the governmental level? Also, why didn't India send an official delegation to the conference?

An official delegate could have presented a picture of India-China civilisational solidarity, which is best described by this poem by Rabindranath Tagore, who is as highly respected in China as in our own country: "Once I went to the land of China,/ Those whom I had not met/ Put the mark of friendship on my forehead/ Calling me their own".

Sudheendra Kulkarni, an aide to former Prime Minister Atal Bihari Vajpayee, is founder of the 'Forum for a New South Asia - Powered by India-China-Pakistan Cooperation

## Confronting news avoidance

Initiatives such as slow news, seen as effective antidotes to breaking news, offer hope at a time of information overload



One is rarely reminded of literature when reading an exhaustive domain survey. The 2019 Reuters Institute Digital News Report, which covered 38 markets globally across six

continents, documented an increasing phenomenon - news avoidance. It pointed out that improved technology increases access to news but also makes it easier to avoid it. Reading this section of the survey, I was

reminded of Toni Morrison's insightful observation about "our own rapidly disintegrating sense of belonging". On receipt of the 2008 PEN/Borders Literary Service Award, the Nobel laureate said: "Certain kinds of trauma visited on people are so deep, so cruel that unlike money, unlike vengeance, even unlike justice and rights, or the goodwill of others, only writers can translate such trauma and turn sorrow into meaning, sharpening the moral imagination. A writer's life and work are not a gift to mankind; they are its necessity." What she said about writing in general applies to journalism too.

There are multiple reasons for news avoidance. According to the Reuters Institute study, polarisation, misinformation, and low trust may not be the only issues facing the news industry. In its data this year, it found that almost a third (32%) of its respondents said that they actively avoid news - three percentage points more than in 2017. This, according to the study, may be because the world has become a more depressing place or because media coverage tends to be relentlessly negative - or a mix of the two. News avoidance figure reached a staggering 11% even in Japan where reading the news is often seen as a duty.

Ruth Palmer and Benjamin Toff, in their prediction for journalism in 2019 for the Nieman Journalism Lab at Harvard University, pointed out that for a growing number of people, navigating the stresses of daily life involves opting out of following the news. Their biggest concern was that the news avoidance will most probably play out unevenly, and hence it may increase existing inequalities. For instance, they rightly pointed out that a gender gap in news avoidance is cause for alarm because, "if women, and lower-income women in particular, are less informed about political affairs than other groups, they may be poorly positioned to advocate for themselves politically." It is important here to recollect the response of a woman in 24-35 age group in the U.K.: "News is a major negative and has a huge impact on everyone who watches it. There is never any positive or happy news."

Mr. Toff argued that for news avoiders, the high costs of consuming news in terms of time or emotional resources are not offset by the perceived benefits because the value of political information as social currency may be low. A study by the Pew Research revealed that seven out of 10 Americans have news fatigue. In this study, it was clear that feeling overwhelmed by the news is more common among those who follow the news less closely than among those who are avid consumers. Hence, journalists may not be the best judges to understand the growing distance between citizens and news.



Joshua Benton, director of the Nieman Journalism Lab, collated some of the comments for a short article on news avoidance by Isabelle Roughol, senior editor-at-large at LinkedIn, to understand the range of factors that has contributed to news fatigue. He found out that by focussing too much on trust factor and the polarising voices on Twitter, news managers are missing the larger group that just doesn't like the meal news media have been serving: "The ones who find the news we produce disempowering, stress-inducing, and, frankly, not worth the time and effort."

The increased access, the unending news cycle where news is breaking every second, and the proliferation of platforms that offer news have led to a form of news overload. In this context, initiatives such as slow news, seen as antidotes to the debilitating effect of breaking news, offer hope. The proponents of this model are looking to respond with more meaningful, inclusive, and less relentlessly negative coverage - often developed in closer collaboration with audiences. The Reuters study revealed that explanatory journalism is resonating well with the younger readers. To paraphrase Toni Morrison, we need a language that helps restore a sense of belonging.

readerseditor@thehindu.co.in

## SINGLE FILE

## Creating sanctuaries of hope for migrant workers

Promises like 'Housing for All by 2022' fail to address the needs of migrants

MADHURIKA SANKAR



There is a wilderness within our borders. It's so vast that it covers an entire nation, with around 100 million inhabitants, one-fifth of our labour force. The total earnings of these seasonal wanderers, India's internal migrant workers, are around \$170 billion per annum, i.e. around 6% of India's GDP. Sadly, this wilderness, comprising the residents hidden away in industrial

complexes, in soot-ridden kitchens of hotels and in dusty construction sites, is invisible to the naked eye.

Shambhu Ghatak, from the Inclusive Media for Change Project, says the migrant population in India is riddled with the issues of inadequate housing; low-paid, insecure or hazardous work; extreme vulnerability of women and children to trafficking and sex exploitation; exclusion from state services such as health and education; and discrimination based on ethnicity. Furthermore, there are mental health issues, not to mention the darkness of debt-ridden, bonded labour. But, herein lies an irony: a treasure-trove of close to \$3 billion, levied as cess on builders under two migrant workers acts, lies grossly underutilised. Access to the money eludes migrant workers as they need to provide proof of address, which is difficult due to the fluidity of their lives. Further, ration cards, Voter IDs and Aadhaar cards are also not easy to obtain.

Trade unions are the best way for the workers to benefit from government welfare schemes but employers often prefer hiring unregistered migrants over their registered counterparts, further distancing the migrants' access. There is also the Inter-State Migrant Workmen Act (1979), enacted to prevent migrant workers from being exploited, but it is rarely invoked and the penalty is minimal.

However, there are rays of hope, stemming from civil society organisations like the Aajeevika Bureau, Hunnarshala Foundation and Ci3; some Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) initiatives; forward-thinking government schemes like that for affordable, migrant housing in Bhuj; and from these resolute workers themselves (the women toilet-masons of Assam are a story of positive irony, for a change).

We need something more than the promise of 'Housing for All by 2022', which fails to address the needs of accommodation for such workers. There need to be multi-level reforms, with an emphasis on sustainable, inclusive construction practices; affordable temporary housing schemes; and inclusive urbanisation at the top. These should be peppered with legally binding implementation protocols. We need to accommodate the wilderness within, so as to help morph this open cage, in which migrant workers live, into a sanctuary of inclusive hope.

The writer is based in Chennai



# LET'S MAKE IRAN GREAT AGAIN!

## **DATA POINT**

## **Mortality** malady

In 1997, out of every 1,000 live births in India, 71 infants died before turning one. In 1997-2008, the figure reduced to 53 (-18), and between 2008 and 2017, it dropped to 33 (-20). The rate of reduction in Infant Mortality Rate (IMR)\* has not improved much and the rural-urban gap too remains high across these two time periods in some States. By Siddarth Rao T and Vignesh Radhakrishnan

The first three columns show IMR in 2017 and the reduction of IMR across two time periods. For instance, Odisha's 2017 IMR is relatively high compared to others and the change across the two periods has remained similar The next three

columns show the urban-rural gap across time spans. States like Assam and Madhya Pradesh have a higher divide

Shades of red indicate lower levels of improvement, while green shades indicate progress

Union territories were not considered. Some States did not have sufficient data

\* Number of infant deaths per 1,000 live births Source: Sample Registration System

State	IMR 2017	IMR '97 - IMR '08	IMR '08 - IMR '17	IMR urban- rural ('97)	IMR urban- rural ('08)	IMR urban- rural ('17)
Goa	9	-9	-1	-	-	-
Kerala	10	0	-2	4	-2	1
Manipur	12	-16	-2	7	-8	-4
Sikkim	12	-18	-21	-10	-16	-4
Mizoram	15	18	-22	-7	-21	-13
Delhi	16	0	-19	-	-	-
T.N.	16	-22	-15	-18	-6	-5
Maharashtra	19	-14	-14	-25	-17	-9
Punjab	21	-10	-20	-16	-12	-3
Himachal	22	-19	-22	-26	-18	-8
W.B.	24	-20	-11	-15	-8	-2
Karnataka	25	-8	-20	-39	-17	-5
Tripura	29	-17	-5	-14	-10	4
Gujarat	30	-12	-20	-23	-23	-14
Haryana	30	-14	-24	-11	-15	-7
A.P.	32	-11	-20	-33	-22	-13
Bihar	35	-15	-21	-20	-15	-5
Rajasthan	38	-22	-25	-28	-31	-14
Meghalaya	39	4	-19	-4	-17	-16
Odisha	41	-27	-28	-35	-22	-10
U.P.	41	-18	-26	-23	-21	-11
Arunachal	42	-15	10	-32	-15	-10
Assam	44	-12	-20	-42	-27	-25
M.P.	47	-24	-23	-42	-27	-19
India	33	-18	-20	-32	-22	-14

## FROM The Mindu. ARCHIVES

FIFTY YEARS AGO JUNE 24, 1969

## RBI help sought to serve State Bank clients

The assistance of the Reserve Bank of India has been sought for the provision of funds to the hardpressed clients of the State Bank of India, badly hit by the indefinite strike by the bank's supervisory staff, it is learnt. The Reserve Bank is understood to be considering the possibility of providing funds to the Government departments, public sector corporations and the private sector firms which are in need of cash. Telegrams are reported to be pouring in from the clients of the State Bank all over the country desperately seeking such assistance from the Reserve Bank. There is yet no indication of the Centre intervening in the dispute between the management and the striking staff of the State Bank of India. It is learnt that the Deputy Prime Minister, Mr. Morarji Desai, is very firm about not intervening in the dispute unless the supervisory staff called off the strike.

## A HUNDRED YEARS AGO JUNE 24, 1919

## Relief Scheme. Bombay Corporation's Proposal.

Some time back the Bombay Municipal Corporation instructed its medical relief committee to prepare a scheme for the future working of volunteers and voluntary organisations in conjunction with the health department on occasions of outbreaks of epidemic in the city. The following is the outline of the scheme proposed after a prolonged and careful deliberation. The city should be divided into 50 units, each unit consisting of about 20,000 population. Each unit should have a committee consisting of a chairman and not more than two members. Each committee should have an organisation consisting of a doctor, two nurses and not less than 10 volunteers. As far as possible one of the members of the committee should be a member of the Corporation. The committee should be organised with the assistance of such voluntary bodies as may be willing to co-operate.

## CONCEPTUAL

## **Continental axis hypothesis**

GEOGRAPHY

Also known as the 'continental orientation hypothesis', this refers to a hypothesis on why certain regions of the world throughout history experienced more economic development than others. It states that regions of the earth that are spread across a large latitudinal area, that is east-west, are more likely to witness greater development than regions that extend longitudinally, that is north-south. This is because temperatures are largely similar across latitudes, which helps technology and ideas to spread among a larger population. It was first proposed by American geographer Jared Diamond in his popular 1997 book Guns, Germs, and Steel.

MORE ON THE WEB

Nadigar Sangam elections: a star-studded affair http://bit.ly/nadigarsangam