

The world's most happening place

Global power axis is shifting eastwards from the Pacific-Atlantic. India's future lies in the Indian Ocean region



RAM MADHAV

THREE DECADES AGO, when globalisation was the flavour of the season, a big section in India, comprising the conventional Right and Left, was up in arms against it. The argument, broadly, was that globalisation was a conspiracy hatched by the developed West to take over the emerging markets of the developing world, that included India. Some of us, though we shared the concerns about globalisation, felt that this cannot be the sole argument against it. Our scepticism was based on the evolving global power order at that time.

The advent of the globalisation era had coincided with a significant geo-political development. Starting with the late '80s, fissures started erupting in the USSR body politic, ultimately leading to its dismemberment and collapse in the early '90s. The West's aggressive globalisation push started around that time. It had arguably seen the collapse of the USSR and the end of bipolarity in global politics as an opportunity to attempt a West-centric global standardisation.

Scholars in the West were gung-ho over this prospect. Recall Francis Fukuyama's famous article in 1989 in the reputed journal, *The National Interest*, provocatively titled "The End of History?" Later, in 1992, he expanded his theses into a book, *The End of History and The Last Man*. Fukuyama's argument was that with the collapse of the Soviet Union, humanity had reached "not just... the passing of a particular period of post-war history, but the end of history as such: That is, the end point of mankind's ideological evolution and the universalisation of Western liberal democracy as the final form of human government".

The unipolar world that Fukuyama had conceived in his article was the dominant thought in the West at the time globalisation was unfolding. Naturally, the thinking world outside had doubts and scepticism about the real intent of that process. Many scholars in the world questioned the validity and ambition of Fukuyama's argument. History proved them right and Fukuyama wrong.

Three decades into its existence, globalisation is at the end of its tether. Countries the world over are increasingly turning inwards. Global institutions are losing their relevance and influence over large parts of the world.

But the world is not turning nationalist, as some had argued at the time of the emergence of globalisation. Instead, we see a process of the emergence of new power blocs in different parts of the world. These power blocs are hankering to become strategic poles, thus leading to the emergence of a multi-polar world order. Multipolarity is the new flavour of the season in the 21st century, marking a death blow to globalisation and unipolarity.

Under the new pecking order, America continues to enjoy primacy but a much reduced one. The American leadership seems content with its role in global affairs reduced while its priorities seem more domestic than global. The European Union is struggling to find its coherence to emerge as an important pole. But internal contradictions like Brexit and disputes over the entry of East European nations bog it down. The rise of a China-centric Asian power order that has spanned new alliances, both regional and interest-based, is a phenomenon in our neighbourhood. China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) and the Shanghai

Cooperation Organisation (SCO) have been causing ripples in the world today.

A new Hanseatic League-style arrangement is trying to reemerge on the world stage with the coming together of countries like Ireland, the Netherlands, the Nordic and Baltic states, while the Arab League, with 22 member states, is in complete disarray with war, terror and despotism. Erstwhile superpowers like Russia and the United Kingdom are struggling to find a place of prominence in the new 21st century world order. Leaders of both countries have great power ambitions — the UK leadership talks about "Global Britain" while Putin centered his 2018 campaign around the slogan "Strong Russia". Yet it appears to be a long road ahead for both countries.

Amidst all this, India is quietly building itself as an important power. Vibrant democracy, literate and skilled manpower and a vast middle class with strong purchasing power are its advantages that attract world attention today. Its strong leadership under Prime Minister Narendra Modi has been able to bring the country on par with major powers in the world through proactive diplomacy and global positioning. Yet we still have to travel a long distance before we emerge as a strong pole. We are no doubt capable of it, but for it to happen, we need to do a few things, and do them differently.

The Indian Ocean is where India's future lies. The global power axis is constantly shifting eastwards from the Pacific-Atlantic region, and the Indian Ocean region has

The Indian leadership needs to appreciate the fact that in the emerging multipolar world order, India is a natural leader in the Indian Ocean region. By turning eastwards and focusing on building an Indian Ocean Bloc, it can aspire to rise as a guiding pole for many countries in the region. India has to think and act fast. As an influential region, it is already witnessing major competition among the leading powers. But with its historic, cultural and civilisational linkages with countries in the region and its present standing in the world, India could become a natural gravitational force for countries, mostly the island nations, in the region.

that the profit earned by the RBI is "not kept with the bank and government, in a way people of the country, has natural ownership over it". Of the Rs 1.76 lakh crore, it says, Rs 1.23 lakh crore is the dividend "that the RBI has earned in terms of profit and in any case Government would have got that". It asks, "if those reserves are meant for Bharat, where's the question of raiding the RBI?"

The remainder of Rs 52,000 crore being transferred to the government, *Organiser* says, is as per the report of the committee headed by the former RBI governor Bimal Jalan.

The editorial adds: "Though the government has announced various policy measures to boost the economy, over-reliance on FDI and FII has been the real problem, as these investments will always be opportunistic, profit-fleecing and antithetical to our domestic supply chain. Not just the recapitalisation of banks, but also investing in economic and social infrastructure in such a way that small and medium scale industries, which are the natural employment generators in Bharat, will get the boost."

PAKISTAN'S MADNESS

PANCHAJANYA HAS GIVEN its attention to the

"madness" of Pakistan when it comes to Kashmir in its editorial. It begins by saying "just like depression makes a person either sleepy or crazy," a similar negativity can be seen "when it comes to issues related to a India" and Pakistan has come to the brink of craziness. It says that it is in this context that Pakistan's fuming — after India has "removed Article 370" and reconstituted Jammu and Kashmir — should be seen.

It adds that even after Pakistan has gone to numerous countries and knocked on the door of the UN four times, the issue of Kashmir has not been internationalised. Instead the editorial says, "Pakistan's madness has definitely become evident to the world for trying to intervene in affairs of other nations".

Talking about the basis for Pakistan's existence, the editorial says that "if the meaning of an Islamic country is Pakistan, then which country would want to become what Pakistan today?"

NOORANI'S POLEMICS

ORGANISER HAS CARRIED a review of AG Noorani's book on the RSS titled, *The RSS: A Menace to India*. Written by BS Harishankar, the article argues that the book is "another

emerged as the most influential region today. It is here that the power axis will settle down ultimately in this century; it is here that the emerging new economies come together with strong traditional economies; it is here that populations and markets with purchasing power exist; it is here that strong military powers with massive defence spending exist; it is here that massive energy-guzzlers like India and China are located. It is the world's most happening place today.

The Indian leadership needs to appreciate the fact that in the emerging multipolar world order, India is a natural leader in the Indian Ocean region. By turning eastwards and focusing on building an Indian Ocean Bloc, it can aspire to rise as a guiding pole for many countries in the region. India has to think and act fast. As an influential region, it is already witnessing major competition among the leading powers. But with its historic, cultural and civilisational linkages with countries in the region and its present standing in the world, India could become a natural gravitational force for countries, mostly the island nations, in the region.

An Indian Ocean Conference of the stakeholder nations in the region is thus an idea whose time has come.

The Fourth Indian Ocean Conference is taking place in the Maldives, with leaders of about 40 countries participating. The writer is national general secretary, BJP and director, India Foundation

addition in the smear campaign against RSS" and is "spineless polemics". As the book was released by former Vice President of India Hamid Ansari, the article first talks about Ansari inaugurating a conference of the Popular Front of India and says that "it shall be untrue if Ansari claims he was not aware of aware of PFI's background" and then says that "now the equations are clear: Why has the PFI been left out from the book by Noorani? It mentions then that the PFI has been accused of various anti-national activities, which include links with various Islamic terrorist groups".

The review then talks about the various parts about the book and tries to counter the assertions made by Noorani. It has several examples of accusing Noorani of not looking at other religions, especially Islam. It says that "Noorani conceals many truths, especially the heavy criticism by Churches against Islamic fundamentalists in India". Later it said that "instead of acknowledging these serious criticisms against Islamic outfits by Churches in India, Noorani accuses Uttar Pradesh Chief Minister Yogi Adityanath of coining the term 'love jihad' for Muslims who marry Hindu girls".

Compiled by Krishn Kaushik

WHAT THE OTHERS SAY

"With the threshold for documentary proof high, and the appeals process long and murky, the process of updating the NRC has been mired in controversy given the BJP's penchant for stoking anti-Muslim, anti-immigrant sentiment." — DAWN

On a shaky foundation

Central government did not renew President's rule in J&K, in accordance with Article 356, in time. It is a gaffe that has had dire consequences



GOPAL SANKARANARAYANAN

AS THE MIASMA around Article 370 pervades the public discourse, most conversations appear to revolve around the issues of broken promises, self-determination and lack of legislative consent. Of course, reams of processed wood pulp have attempted to navigate the readers through the jungle that is constitutional law, and for the most part, it appears that while integration of the state of Jammu and Kashmir with the federation may be unexceptionable, it is perhaps the process adopted that has raised questions (and hackles). The object of the present article is to look, not at the presidential orders from earlier this month, but at what formed the foundation of the entire exercise — the imposition of President's Rule in December, 2018.

Article 356 of the Constitution allows the President to impose his rule on a state where he is satisfied that governance is not in accordance with the Constitution. The proclamation he/she issues in this regard can also provide for the vesting of the powers of government in the President, that of the legislature in Parliament and most significantly, the suspension of the provisions of the Constitution that would apply to a body or authority in the state. In our Westminster form of government, this essentially means the central government can abuse Article 356 to impose its will on states where inconvenient opposition parties are in power. All our leaders have been guilty of its abuse, probably none more so than Indira Gandhi who is solely responsible for more than half of all its invocations since 1950.

Anticipating this misuse then, the Constituent Assembly provided two principal safeguards in Article 356: First, that every proclamation would be valid for only two months; and second, if resolutions approving the proclamation were passed by both Houses of Parliament, then it would be valid for six months, which could be extended up to a maximum period of three years with parliamentary resolutions on each occasion. For the present discussion, it would be pertinent to consider the exact words of Article 356(4): "A Proclamation so approved shall, unless revoked, cease to operate on the expiration of a period of six months from the date of

issue of the Proclamation."

As far as Jammu and Kashmir is concerned, the President first issued his Proclamation on December 19, 2018, vesting the powers of the government with himself and those of the legislature with Parliament. This was followed on January 3 by the approval of the Houses of Parliament. Notably, this approval gave the Proclamation a life of six months with effect from December 19, 2018 (not from January 3, 2019). Therefore, if it were not extended before June 18, the Proclamation in the solemn words of the Constitution, would "cease to operate". In 1994, a nine-judge bench of the Supreme Court in the *S R Bommai* case had observed that the necessary consequence of such a lapse of the Proclamation would be that the "status quo ante revives". It emphasises: "The Legislative Assembly which may have been kept in suspended animation also springs back to life".

However, it appears that the government missed the bus.

Imagining that the cut-off date was July 2, resolutions were tabled to extend the Proclamation on June 28 in the Lok Sabha and July 1 in the Rajya Sabha, thereby purporting to renew the six-month period from July 3. This, as Article 356(4) clearly shows, was impermissible, because the Proclamation had ceased to have effect on June 18 itself. The only option now was a fresh Proclamation to be issued by the President on the same terms as the earlier one — imagine your passport or driver's licence, but without a grace period for renewal, thereby requiring you to apply afresh.

Neither the notifications by the President on August 5 and 6 under Article 370, nor the exercise by Parliament to reorganise the state as two Union Territories were carried out with the approval of the J&K state legislature. In fact, both the nation's executive and the legislature proceeded as if the legislature did not exist, singularly on the misapprehension that the Proclamation was still in force. The consequence of this gaffe appears to be grave — gubernatorial privilege has been speculated and the creation of new territories to be directly governed by the Centre has undermined the federal canon. India and its government have had to endure obloquy, both from within and without, and a frustrated neighbour has drummed up visions of nuclear war.

While the integration of the land and its people is necessary, it is cardinal that the world's most resplendent democracy heed constitutional process, because without it, we are back in the dark days of the Emergency.

The writer is senior advocate, Supreme Court of India

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

CHINA'S HYPOCRISY

THIS REFERS TO the article, 'Upholding the law' (IE, September 3). The writer asserts that whatever is happening in Hong Kong is purely China's internal affair. The writer also alleges that radicals in Hong Kong have been supported by the Western media and diplomatic agencies of some countries. However, on August 16, China placed the Kashmir issue in front of the UN Security Council and in so doing it openly supported Pakistan. The Chinese government is well aware that the unrest in Kashmir has been caused by Pakistan funding terror. Isn't this a case of double standards?

VD Malviya, Noida

DEALING WITH PAK

THIS REFERS TO the editorial, 'The Pak muddle' (IE, September 3). There is a lot of confusion in Islamabad and Rawalpindi since the Indian government abrogated Article 370 and changed the status of Jammu and Kashmir and Ladakh. War with India is definitely not an option. The consequences of war will be devastating for both countries. However, it's difficult to talk with Pakistan given that actors in the country speak in different voices.

Vihaan Gupta, Ujjain

THIS REFERS TO the editorial 'The Pak muddle' (IE, September 3). Pakistan raising the Kashmir bogey ad nauseam and portraying itself as the messiah of Kashmiris. The Balakot strike was a game changer which rattled Pakistan to its core. India should not respond to Pakistan's provocation, rather concentrate on restoring normalcy in Kashmir.

Deepak Singhal, Noida

LETTER OF THE WEEK AWARD

To encourage quality reader intervention, *The Indian Express* offers the Letter of the Week award. The letter adjudged the best for the week is published every Saturday. Letters may be e-mailed to

editpage@expressindia.com or sent to *The Indian Express*, B-1/B, Sector 10, Noida-UP 201301.

Letter writers should mention their postal address and phone number.

THE WINNER RECEIVES SELECT EXPRESS PUBLICATIONS

BANKS AND JOBS

THIS REFERS TO the editorial, 'Bigger better' (IE, August 31). The mega merger of public sector banks appears to be aimed at fiscal consolidation and reviving growth. The government feels that this move will help the Indian economy touch \$5-trillion mark well in time for the next parliamentary polls. The consolidation may improve economies of scale, leading to greater operating efficiency. But shrinking the number of PSU banks from 27 to just a dozen could also lead to a fall in their employment potential, much like what happened during the SBI's consolidation. There are also apprehensions that while mega loans may increase, the MSME sector could get neglected.

Lal Singh, Amritsar



VIEW FROM THE RIGHT

RBI TRANSFER

THE RECENT DECISION of the Reserve Bank of India to transfer Rs 1.76 lakh crore, which has come under sever criticism by the Opposition, is the defended by *Organiser's* editorial. Titled 'Utilising Reserves for Bharat' the editorial argues that "the real focus of the debate should be the utilisation of this amount and not the transfer of amount".

The editorial starts by saying that the nationalisation of banks by former Prime Minister Indira Gandhi in 1969 was "the biggest infringement on autonomy of not just the RBI but the entire banking system". It then says that the critics of the recent RBI transfer, including Rahul Gandhi, are "considering this fact" and says that certain issues need to be put in context. It argues that the autonomy of RBI's decisions is maintained by its independent directors. It says